An Update of Financial Indicators

November 2019



Why are financial ratios used in higher education?

- Intended to provide a high level assessment to assist boards and leaders in interpreting financial statements and overall financial strength.
 - Use has evolved over the last 30 years; still a mix of "art and science" in the assessment.
 - Four key ratios benchmarked to industry standards and combined into a weighted Composite Financial Index
 - Industry best practice is to include foundations

What do the rations tell you?

- 1. **Primary Reserve Ratio** (35%) Are resources sufficient and flexible enough to support the mission?
- **2. Viability Ratio** (35%) Are financial resources, including debt, managed strategically to advance the mission?
- **3. Return on Net Position** (20%) Does asset performance and management support the strategic direction?
- **4. Net Operating Ratio** (10%) Do operating results indicate the institution is living within available resources?

Composite Financial Index (CFI) – weighted average of the four ratios

The Primary Reserve Ratio is buoyed by the strength of the foundations.

- Ratio of expendable net position to total expenses.
- Indicates the length of time the university could continue operations without additional revenue or support.
- Provides a snapshot of the university's financial flexibility.
- Aids in understanding the amount of wealth the university needs to realize strategic objectives.

	Accepted William & Mary			<u>L</u>	
	Benchmark *	FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2016
Primary Reserve	0.400	0.17	0.12	0.15	0.14

	Accepted	William & Mary with Affiliated Foundations					
	Benchmark	FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2016		
Primary Reserve	0.400	1.19	1.15	1.01	0.86		

^{*} Strategic Financial Analysis in Higher Education, 7th Ed.

The Viability Ratio, with foundations included, exceeds benchmark

- Ratio of expendable net position to long-term debt.
- Assesses the strategic management of resources, including debt, to advance the university's mission.
- Measurement of the availability of resources (expendable net position) to settle debt.

	Accepted		William & Mary		
	Benchmark*	FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2016
Viability	1-1.25	0.26	0.23	0.27	0.23
					-

	Accepted	William & Mary with Affiliated Foundations				
	Benchmark		FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2016	
Viability	1-1.25	1.66	1.69	1.56	1.27	

APA recommends 1%. NACUBO benchmarks at 1.25% (McGladrey, LLP., 2013)

Return on Net Position Ratio exceeds industry benchmark

- Ratio of the change in net position to beginning total net position.
- Evaluates whether asset management and performance supports the strategic mission of the university.
- Aids in determining the financial performance of net assets owned by the university.

	Accepted		William & Mar	¥	
	Benchmark*	FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2016
Return on Net Position	> 0	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.09
					_
	Accepted	Willian	n & Mary with A	Affiliated Found	lations_
	Benchmark	FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2016
Return on Net Position	> 0	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.03

^{*} The APA indicates there is generally no specific benchmark, but the ratio should be positive (ie., greater than zero).

Net Operating Revenues Ratio indicates that the enterprise is operating beyond its means

- Ratio of net income excluding capital revenues to the sum of total noncapital revenues.
- Assesses if the university is operating within its means.
- Helps to understand the balance needed between annual returns and achievement of the university's mission.

	Accepted		William & Mary	L	
	Benchmark*	FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2016
Net Operating Revenues	2% - 4%	0.00	-0.02	0.00	-0.04
					_
	Accepted	<u>William</u>	1 & Mary with A	Affiliated Found	ations
	Benchmark	FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2016
Net Operating Revenues	2% - 4%	0.08	0.08	0.13	-0.06

^{*} NACUBO 2013 Planning and Budgeting Forum PowerPoint presentation by McGladrey, LLP

The financial strength of foundations underpins CFI assessment

William & Marv

Indicates the strength of the institution.

Accepted

Combination of the four core ratios with assigned weights.

	Benchmark	FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2016
Composite Financial Index (CFI)	3.00	1.11	0.69	1.19	1.41
	Accepted	<u>William</u>	n & Mary with A	Affiliated Found	ations
	Benchmark	FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2016
Composite Financial Index (CFI)	3.00	6.09	6.02	5.75	3.59

Strategic Financial Analysis in Higher Education, 7th Ed.