# College Rankings

Board of Visitors Retreat Pre-Reading Deck August 9, 2018



# Assorted W&M Rankings

Rank	Category	Publication
6	Best Colleges: Top Public Schools	USNWR (2018)
7	Best Colleges: Undergraduate Teaching	USNWR (2018)
9	Best College Values: Public (Out-of-State Students)	Kiplinger (2018)
10	America's Top Colleges: Public Schools	Forbes (2017)
11	Best College Values: Public (In-State Students)	Kiplinger (2018)
30	America's Top Colleges: Research Universities	Forbes (2017)
32	Best Colleges: National Universities	USNWR (2018)

### School and Program Rankings

Rank	Category	Publication
2	Best Business Schools: Best Professors	Princeton Review (2018)
3	Best Grad Schools: U.S. Colonial History	USNWR (2018)
12	Best Undergrad Business Schools	Bloomberg Businessweek (2016)
12	Best Colleges for Veterans	USNWR (2018)
16	Best Grad Schools: Flex MBA Program	USNWR (2018)
18	Best International Relations Schools	Foreign Policy (2015)
19	Best Law Schools for Practical Training	National Jurist (2016)
23	Top 50 Law Schools	Above the Law (2016)

### School and Program Rankings (cont'd)

Rank	Category	Publication
27	Best Grad Schools: History	USNWR (2018)
30	Go-To Law Schools	National Law Journal (2017)
33	Best Business Schools: Part-Time MBA Program	Bloomberg Businessweek (2015)
37	Best Business Schools: Full-Time MBA Program	Bloomberg Businessweek (2015)
41	Best Grad Schools: Law	USNWR (2018)
42	Best Undergrad Business Programs	USNWR (2018)
46	Best Grad Schools: Education	USNWR (2018)

# U.S. NEWS & WORLD REPORT BEST NATIONAL UNIVERSITIES

# USNWR 2018 Highlights

- W&M remained tied for 32<sup>nd</sup> overall, 6<sup>th</sup> among public universities
  - Alumni Giving ranked 18<sup>th</sup> (up from 20<sup>th</sup>) among all leading national universities
  - Financial Resources ranked 111<sup>th</sup> (still the largest gap between overall quality and resources among leading universities)

USNWR 2018 Formula Categories and Weights	
Undergraduate Academic Reputation	22.5%
<ul><li>Peer assessment survey</li><li>HS counselor assessment survey</li></ul>	15% 7.5%
Graduation and Retention	22.5%
<ul> <li>Six-year graduation rate</li> <li>Freshman retention rate</li> </ul>	18% 4.5%
Faculty Resources	20%
<ul> <li>Class size index</li> <li>Faculty salary</li> <li>Professors with terminal degree</li> <li>Student-to-faculty ratio</li> <li>Proportion of FT faculty</li> </ul>	8% 7% 3% 1% 1%
Student Selectivity	12.5%
<ul> <li>SAT/ACT scores</li> <li>Freshmen in top 10% of HS class</li> <li>Acceptance rate</li> </ul>	8.1% 3.1% 1.3%
Financial Resources	10%
<b>Graduation Rate Performance</b>	7.5%
Alumni Giving Rate	5%

### **USNWR Methodology Notes**

- There is a lag in the data
  - For example, alumni giving is the two-year average of 2014-15 and 2015-16
  - Financial resources is the two-year average for FY15 and FY16
- Every university's raw score is divided by the top raw score, so the top school's score equals 100
  - Published overall score can move based on the numerator (us) or denominator (them)

### W&M as Scored in USNWR

#### Strengths

- Graduation/Retention
- Reputation
- Selectivity
- Alumni Giving

#### Weaknesses

- Financial Resources
- Faculty Resources

### 

# WSJ 2018 Highlights

- W&M ranked 100<sup>th</sup> among more than 1,000 institutions in the United States
  - WSJ does not separate research universities, liberal arts colleges, etc.
- W&M ranked 65<sup>th</sup> in Outcomes (graduation rate, value added to graduate salaries, reputation and value added to loan default)

VSJ_F	ormula Categories and Weights	2018
)utcoi	nes	40%
0	Value added to graduate salary	12%
0	Graduation rate	11%
0	Academic reputation (THE survey; total votes received for teaching excellence)	10%
0	Value added to loan default	7%
Resources		30%
0	Finances per student (teaching-associated spending adjusted using regional price comparisons)	11%
0	Faculty per student	11%
0	Research papers per faculty (Elsevier bibliographic dataset, 2011-2015)	8%
ngag	ement	20%
0	Student engagement (THE survey; avg. score of four questions)	7%
0	Student recommendation (THE survey)	6%
0	Interactions with teachers and students (THE survey; avg. score of two questions)	3%
0	Subject breadth (number of courses offered)	3%
Environment		10%
0	Student diversity (likelihood of two randomly selected undergraduates being of different race/ethnicity)	3%
0	Faculty diversity (same as student diversity calculation)	3%
0	Proportion of international students	2%
0	Student inclusion (proportion of Pell recipients and first-generation; normalized)	2%

# WSJ Methodology Notes

- WSJ values wealth even more than USNWR
  - WSJ is 22% wealth-related variables; USNWR is 18%
  - Three publics among THE's global top-25, only one among WSJ's U.S. top-25 (THE provides reputational survey)
- Some WSJ metrics discount W&M
  - Subject breadth (number of programs) favors large institutions; no accounting for breadth of exposure (i.e., the number of subjects required of undergraduates)

### W&M as Scored in WSJ

#### Strengths

- Graduation
- Student Engagement
- Reputation
- Student Diversity (race/ethnicity)

#### Weaknesses

- Student Inclusion (Pell, first-gen.)
- Number of Programs
- Faculty Diversity
- Research Papers per Faculty

### Key Questions for Discussion

- How do rankings factors align (or not) with strategic goals for the university?
- What's the appropriate awareness of rankings within pursuing strategic goals?