

UNDERGRADUATE HONOR COUNCIL

Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions
Cheating- Use of Unauthorized Assistance/Collaboration	Responsible	ER	Disciplinary Probation through Spring 2020; Letter of Apology to the Professor; Recommended Grade of 0 on the Assignment
	The student copied the answers for an in-class assessment off of their neighbor's assessment. The student was eligible to resolve the matter through the Early Resolution process in which the student agreed to a set of facts and the sanction.		
Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions
Cheating - Unauthorized Assistance	Responsible	ER	Disciplinary Probation through Spring 2020, Letter of apology to the professor, Recommended grade of Zero on assignment
	The student copied answers from a classmate to complete an assessment in the course. As this was a Level II violation and the student accepted responsibility, the case was resolved through the early resolution process in which the student agreed to a summary of facts and the assigned sanctions.		
Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions
Cheating - Unauthorized Assistance	Responsible	ER	Disciplinary Probation through Spring 2020, Letter of apology to professor, Recommended grade of Zero on assignment
	The student copied answers from a classmate to complete an assessment in the course. As this was a Level II violation and the student accepted responsibility, the case was resolved through the early resolution process in which the student agreed to a summary of facts and the assigned sanctions.		

Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions		
Lying	Not Responsible	Not Responsible (6- 0)			
	The student was accused of lying about selling student parking passes that the respondent did not possess. The panel found that it was not the respondent's intent to deceive the any member of the William & Mary community and thus found the student not responsible.				
Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions		
Cheating - Plagiarism	Responsible	ER	F on Assignment		
	To complete a discussion post on a course forum, the student used outside material without proper citation. As this was a Level I violation and the student accepted responsibility, the case was resolved through the early resolution process in which the student agreed to a summary of facts and the assigned sanction.				
Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions		
Cheating- Plagiarism	Responsible	ER	Disciplinary Probation through Spring 2020; Letter of Apology to the Professor; Recommended Grade of 0 on the Assignment		
	The student plagiarized portions of two assignments by inadequately citing sources student was eligible to resolve the matter through the Early Resolution process in with the student agreed to a set of facts and the sanction.				
Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions		
	N/A	N/A	N/A		
N/A	It was alleged that the student lied and misrepresented other students in the course when corresponding with the professor. After an investigation, the Sufficient Evidence Panel did not find enough evidence to warrant a hearing, so the case was closed and no responsibility was assigned.				

Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions		
Cheating - Plagiarism;	Responsible; Not Responsible	Responsible (6-0), Not Responsible (6- 0)	Disciplinary Probation through Spring 2020		
Cheating - Use of Unauthorized Materials	The student used outside sources to write a paper but did not cite them. The student accepted responsibility for this plagiarism violation. In determining a sanction, the panel considered the gravity of this violation and the student's demonstrated reflection. It was also alleged that the student used unauthorized sources to complete the assignment, but the panel did not find evidence beyond a reasonable doubt for this charge.				
Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions		
	Not Responsible; Not Responsible; Responsible; Not Responsible		Deferred Disciplinary Suspension through Spring 2020; Community Service		
Stealing; Stealing; Lying; Lying	The student was charged with stealing two signs and lying to two University officials in the process of the investigation. The panel found the respondent responsible for stealing one of the signs and for lying to both of the University officials. The panel found the respondent not responsible for stealing one of the signs because there was not enough evidence beyond a reasonable doubt. In coming to the decision of assigning the respondent deferred disciplinary suspension, the panel considered the gravity and scope of the violations.				
Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions		
Cheating - Use of Unauthorized Materials	Responsible	ER	Disciplinary Probation through Spring 2020, Letter of apology to professor, Reduction of final course grade by 20%, Rewrite section of assignment to Professor's satisfaction		
	The student used unauthorized resources to complete a draft of a paper. As this was a Level II violation and the student accepted responsibility, the case was resolved through the early resolution process in which the student agreed to a summary of facts and the assigned sanctions.				
Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions		
Cheating- Use of Unauthorized Materials;	No Plea (Case was heard in absentia)	Not Responsible (6- 0); Responsible (6- 0)	Disciplinary Suspension through at least Fall 2019; Recommended 'F' on the Exam; Reflection Paper; Letter of Apology to the Professor		

Lying					
Lynig					
	exam as well as consult academic advantage. The the student consulted the for lying about taking t	ing a classmate's grad panel could not find e classmate's exam. The panel	an exam in order to be granted a make-up ded version of the same exam to gain an devidence beyond a reasonable doubt that The panel did find the student responsible considered the extent and impact of the suspension was the appropriate sanction.		
Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions		
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	It was alleged that the student lied in the course of completing a group project assignment. After an investigation, the Sufficient Evidence Panel did not find enough evidence to warrant a hearing, so the case was closed and no responsibility was assigned.				
Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions		
Cheating - Plagiarism	Responsible	Responsible (3-0)	Disciplinary Probation through Fall 2020, Recommended grade of zero on assignment, recommended continued counseling		
	The student was accused of copying 100% of another student's paper worth 10% of the final grade. While this violation would typically be a Level II violation, the extent of the plagiarism classified the violation as Level III. The panel considered the evidence presented and found the student responsible.				
Charges	Plea	Judgement	Sanctions		
	Responsible; Not Responsible	Responsible (3-0) x2	Suspension through Spring 2020; Required apology, Recommended F in the course		
Cheating – Use of Unauthorized Materials; Lying	The student was accused of using a cell phone during a final exam. It was later identified that the student lied about what was accessed on the phone when in use during the exam. The panel considered the level of violation, gravity, and scope of violations to determine responsibility.				