William & Mary

ECONOMICS 382: Comparative Economics

The Centrally Planned Economy in Systemic Transition

Prof. Berhanu Abegaz

Office: Tyler 335 Spring 2021

Modality: RSOF Office Hours: Zoom, on demand T,TH: 11:00-12:20 PM

A. WHAT THIS COURSE IS ALL ABOUT

The post-WWII global economy was dominated by two economic systems: Capitalism (laissez-faire or corporatist) and Socialism (centrally planned or cooperativist). Comparative economics [CE] approaches the study of economic systems by focusing on who controls the most important decision-making authority over scarce economic resources. This course is concerned with the design and functioning of market institutions and non-market economic institutions, which shape incentives and coordinate the decisions of economic actors. Together, they define an economic "system."

CE seeks to understand the mechanism and the impact on efficiency and equity of alternative economic organizations. Comparative economics melds ideas from various fields of economics, especially from economic history, international economics, development economics, and institutional economics. Topics covered include property rights and transaction costs, economic organization, competition, centralized and decentralized planning, cooperative and labor management, vertical and horizontal inequality, and the dynamics of economic systems. Politics, of course, lurks behind these issues.

Countries' observed economic performance is a joint product of the characteristic features of the economic system, endowments, and policies. At the end of the course, you will be able to understand: the soft institutional underbelly of the market economy, the impossibility of devising an apolitical economic system, the fusion of the political and the economic under socialism, and the varieties of the market and non-market institutions that permit rapid and equitable economic growth.

The course addresses four sets of issues. The first part of the syllabus explores theories of economic systems, varieties of capitalism and socialism, and central planning models. The operation and performance of the classical Soviet model are explored in part two to help you understand how an industrial economy can function in the absence of markets. The third part examines the vexed, post-1990, political economy of market-oriented Transition at the microeconomic and macroeconomic levels. We conclude with a close look at two contrasting country experiences with the post-socialist Transition: Russia vs. China.

B. GRADING AND EXPECTATIONS

Your grade for the course will be based on the quality of three sets of coursework: a mid-term exam (40%) and a cumulative final exam (40%), two units of graded homework (10%), and team-based class debates with a one-page summary of your contributions (10%). I will provide guidelines.

C. TEXTBOOKS AND READINGS

There is no single textbook in the market that coherently covers most topics. Therefore, this reading-intensive course must rely on disparate readings, most of which are synthesized for you in the Pedagogical Notes. The required readings consist of the following textbooks (which you are free to purchase from Barnes and Noble/WM or elsewhere). You can download the articles and chapters from the Course's Blackboard site.

- 1. Barry Naughton, *The Chinese Economy: Adaptation and Growth*, MIT Press, 2018.
- 2. Oleh Havrylyshyn, *Present at the Transition: An Inside Look at the Role of History, Politics, and Personalities in Post-Communist Countries*, Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- 3. David Hoffman, *The Oligarchs: Wealth and Power in the New Russia*, Public Affairs, 2011.
- 4. Berhanu Abegaz, *Understanding Economic Transitions: Plan and Market under the New Globalization*, Palgrave-Macmillan, (draft: forthcoming in 2022).

PART ONE: INSTITUTIONAL ECONOMICS--DESIGN AND EVOLUTION OF ECONOMIC "SYSTEMS"

Economic systems (such as communal, state, or market) allocate resources and distribute income under the hegemony of various ruling elites. The centrally planned economy (CPE) is characterized by the predominance of state and collective property over private property, plan directives over market allocations, and social consumption over private consumption. Its ideal is rapid economic growth with economic security and a high level of egalitarianism. It is a product of both design and improvisation. The nature of economic systems in general and the debate on the feasibility and efficiency of socialism relative to capitalism will be reviewed in this section.

1.1. Comparative Institutions, Organizations, and Economic Systems

Abegaz (2022), "Economic Systems," chapter 1.

Neuberger (1971), "Classifying Economic Systems," pp. 18-26.

Eggertsson, Thrann, "A Quick Guide to New Institutional Economics," *J. C.E.*, 41(1), 2013: 1-5.

Nelson (2011), "The Complex Economic Organization of Capitalist Economies," *Capitalism and Society*.

1.2. The Great Debate on Systems: Capitalism vs. Stalinist Socialism vs. Market Socialism

Marx and Engels (1848), "The Communist Manifesto," pp. 14-34. Hayek (1945), "The Price System as a Mechanism for Using Knowledge," pp. 29-40. Von Mises (1936), "Economic Calculation in Socialism," pp. 111-17. Lange (1936), "On the Economic Theory of Socialism," pp. 118-26.

⇒ Homework #1—5%.

PART TWO: SOVIET CENTRAL PLANNING IN THEORY AND PRACTICE

The Soviet economy, the "really-existing socialism" par excellence, was imitated by all other socialist countries. This classical CPE relied on a central economic plan characterized by administrative allocation of key inputs and outputs, a regimented labor market, autarky concerning external trade, dual control of economic entities by parallel Party and State bureaucracies, a sizeable informal or submarket economy, and a generalized state of economic shortage and soft budget constraint. All these were rationalized by a Leninist ideology of "revolutionary democracy." The pre-1991 Soviet economy provides an excellent case study of how a modern industrial economy can function without reliance on markets. This historical case study underscores the need to pay special attention to context—historical legacies, endowments, and institutions (the state, the rule of law, and governance).

2.1. Historical Legacies: Power, Ideology and Ownership Forms

Abegaz (2022), "Economic Planning in Various Settings," chapter 2.

Kornai (1992), The Socialist System, chs. 5, 6 and 11.

Gaidar (2012), Russia: A Long View, chs. 8 and 9.

2.2. Soviet Planning: Organizational Architecture, Drafting, and Implementation

Gregory & Stuart (2001), Russian and Soviet Economic Performance and Structure, chs. 1, 6, 7 and 10.

Roland (2014), "Markets and Hierarchies," 232-263.

Abegaz (2022), "The Soviet CPE I" and "The Soviet CPE II," chapters 3 and 4.

Mid-Term Exam—40%

2.3. Chinese Planning: Planning in a Semi-industrial Setting

Abegaz (2022), "The Chinese CPE," chapter 5. Naughton (2018), chapters 1-4.

PART THREE: THE TRAVAILS OF POST-SOCIALIST TRANSITIONS

Industrialization somehow took off in Western Europe and its offshoots but failed to do so elsewhere except Japan. This Great Divergence explains the temptation by frustrated elites in the European periphery and in the so-called Third World to engage in radical reform and central planning in the hope of successfully engineering development from the top down. It turns out that the Soviet-type CPE is well suited for the mobilization and reallocation of underemployed resources using mature technologies (extensive growth). It also produces a reasonably egalitarian distribution of income and unprecedented levels of economic security. The system, however, provided inadequate incentives for innovation. It was less well suited for sustaining a high level of productivity (intensive growth) since sustained productivity growth requires significant devolution of authority, flexibility, and technological innovation. Could it be that socialism is the most extended detour to capitalism as the old joke has it?

Inducements and Triggers for Systemic Reform 3.1.

Kornai (1992), The Socialist System, ch. 15.

Toward a Theory of Transition to the Market: The Shock and the Therapy 3.2.

Abegaz (2022), "The Market-oriented Transition: Theory," chapter 6.

Havrylyshyn (2020), *Present at the Transition*, entire book.

⇒ Homework #2—5%

PART FOUR: TWO CONTRASTING MODELS OF TRANSITION

Starting around 1990, the CPEs made what appears to be an irreversible switch to some market China and Vietnam constitute intriguing exceptions to the rule of (capitalist) economy. transformational depression during the transition period. Central Europe moved quickly to recovery by the mid-1990s, while many Southeastern European and the CIS countries endured shock without therapy. China and Russia, along with Brazil and India, now comprise the BRIC group of emerging economic powers. The class presentations will critically reflect on the diverse country experiences grappling with such questions as to whether the enormous cost of Transition could have been minimized with better reform strategies and more generous Western support.

4.1. The Russian Model of Transition: Transformational Recession

Kornai (2006), "The Great Transformation of Central and Eastern Europe," Econ. Transition.

Abegaz (2022), "The Autarkic Road to Capitalism," Chapter 7.

Hoffman (2011), entire book.

4.2. The Chinese Model of Transition: Transformational Boom

Naughton (2018), especially chs. 12, 13 and 20.

Abegaz (2022), "The Nationalist Road to Capitalism," Chapter 8.

Huang (2012), "How Did China Take Off?" Journal of Economic Perspectives, 26(4): 147-70.

⇒ Class Presentation and Summary Report--10%

→ Final Exam—40%

Useful Sources on Historically Planned Economies:

The European Economy
Economics of Transition
The Economist
Beijing Review

Problems of Communism Annual Transition Report (EBRD)
Economic Systems The Palgrave Dictionary of Economics

J. of Comparative Economics

Comparative Economic Studies

Transition (World Bank)

Far Eastern Review

The New York Times

The Washington Post

The Wall Street Journal The Financial Times (London)

Useful Web Sites:

The Economist http://www.enews.com/magazines/economist/

United Nations http://www.unsystem.org/

World Bank (WDI data) http://www.worldbank.org/html/Welcome.html/

European Union

EBRD

http://europa.eu.int/
http://ebrd.org/
IMF

OECD

http://imf.org/
http://oecd.org/

Postsocialist or Transition Countries:

Ukraine Russia Kazakhstan **Baltic States** Czech Republic Uzbekistan Slovak Republic Hungary Poland Serbia Slovenia Azerbaijan Romania Bulgaria China Albania Vietnam Croatia Cuba* North Korea*