

## I. PROMOTION AND TENURE FILES

The *Faculty Handbook* requires that “each recommending authority” in actions, relating to retention, promotion and tenure “consider all relevant information obtained for the evaluation...” To ensure that each recommending authority has sufficient information to discharge the responsibilities described, the following information for each promotion and tenure submission should be forwarded to the Provost.

Each recommendation submitted to the Provost for promotion and/or tenure shall be supported by a file containing at least the following items:

1. The Dean’s recommendation, which should be a statement describing the criteria used in arriving at the recommendation and convey the Dean’s independent judgment of the merits of the case.
2. The report of the appropriate faculty committee(s), which should be evaluative not merely descriptive and which should record the committee’s vote and the date of that vote. Dissenting report/s if any, must also be sent forward.
3. The report of the faculty meeting, if any, at which the recommendation of the faculty committee is discussed and voted upon. That vote and the date of that vote must also be sent forward.

In order to provide more complete input and information, it is preferable that all faculty at or above the rank and status for which the candidate is being considered, should be involved in this discussion and vote. However, schools or departments which prefer to have only a faculty committee evaluate the candidate (see I.2. above) may do so.

Untenured faculty may not participate in decisions on promotion and tenure in any formal way. Should an untenured faculty member wish to write an unsolicited letter in support of a candidate, that letter is to be forwarded with the file.

4. The report of the department Chair, when appropriate.
5. The curriculum vitae of the candidate. Refereed publications and peer reviewed creative activities must be clearly indicated. Citations must be complete, to include page numbers. Candidates must distinguish clearly among work accepted for publication, work being considered for publication, and work in progress.
6. A self-evaluation by the candidate of his/her teaching, scholarship and service, and a statement of future plans in all three areas.
7. An evaluation of teaching, to include use of student teaching evaluations (both numerical scores and comments) and at least *one other* method of evaluation.

8. At least four letters from appropriate external reviewers, solicited through a procedure that insures the integrity of the evaluative process. These letters are to be used by the relevant Faculty committees and by the Dean in evaluating the candidate's scholarship.
  - a. Letters should not be solicited from the candidate's dissertation director, frequent co-author(s), or other individuals with whom a professional or personal relationship exists such that would cast doubt upon the objectivity of the reviewer. Outside evaluators should come from programs, institutions or agencies of a quality commensurate with the reputation and standards of the College of William and Mary. Letters should be solicited whenever possible from individuals at or above the rank to which the candidate is being promoted. Any exceptions to "arm's length" reviewers or reviewers at or above rank must be explained. All letters solicited are part of the file and must be forwarded.

External evaluators must be sent the candidate's C.V. and copies of those scholarly and/or creative works which the candidate and his or her dean or department chair have agreed should be sent out.

- b. A copy of the letter or letters written to external reviewers to solicit the evaluation. The same letter should be sent to all reviewers unless the candidate works in more than one field. Consistent with the approved practices of the school, external evaluators may be assured that their letters will be shown to the candidate only after all identifiers have been redacted to assure that their identity will remain unknown to the candidate during the tenure and promotion review and thereafter.
  - c. An explanation of how the reviewers were chosen (e.g. from a list provided by the candidate, a list drawn up by the evaluative committee in the department or school, or some combination of the two), and why these particular individuals were chosen. Not all evaluators should be chosen from the list provided by the candidate unless there are compelling reasons to do so. C.V.'s of the reviewers should be included as part of the file.
9. Any commentary or other information provided by the candidate subsequent to the vote of the faculty and/or the determination of the Chair/Dean consistent with approved unit personnel policies and procedures.
10. The final file should be reviewed by the Dean (or his/her designee) and candidate in one another's presence and a cover page included that lists the contents of the file and a statement that the Dean and candidate certify that the file includes these items. This should be signed by the Dean and the candidate and dated. To assure confidentiality of external reviewers during this certification, the external letters and the letters of solicitation (see 8.a. and 8.b. above) should be sealed in an envelope before the candidate reviews the contents of the file.

## II. INTERIM REVIEWS

For a faculty member scheduled to be reviewed for tenure in the sixth year of his/her appointment at the College, the interim review must be completed in the third year of his/her appointment in a tenure-eligible position. The dates of this interim review must be noted in the Dean's letter of intent to the candidate.

For a faculty member with a shorter probationary period resulting from credit given for academic employment elsewhere, the Dean's letter of intent will schedule a mid-probationary period review, except in cases where a tenure review is scheduled during the first three years of service at William and Mary. Normally, a faculty member must have completed at least one full year of service in a tenure-eligible position at the College before being considered for tenure.

All interim reviews must be forwarded to the Provost by the Dean by the date specified annually by the Office of the Provost, with a recommendation as to whether the employment should be continued or whether the individual should be given notice of termination according to the schedule set out in the Faculty Handbook (§III.B.2).

The file sent to the Provost shall include at a minimum:

1. The Dean's recommendation, which will be a considered statement describing the criteria used in arriving at the recommendation and give the Dean's independent judgment of the merits of the case.
2. The report of the appropriate faculty committee/s should be evaluative not merely descriptive. The vote of the committee and the date of that vote should be recorded and forwarded. Dissenting report/s, if any, should also be sent forward.
3. The report of the faculty meeting at which the recommendation of the faculty committee on whether or not employment should be continued after the expiration of the current contract, is discussed and voted upon. All faculty in rank above the candidate should participate in that meeting and the vote and date of that vote should be sent forward (see I.3. above).
4. The recommendation of the department chair, where appropriate.
5. The curriculum vitae of the candidate. Refereed publication and peer reviewed creative activities should be clearly indicated. Citations should be complete, to include page numbers.
6. A self-evaluation by the candidate of his/her teaching, scholarship and service, and a statement of future plans in all three areas.
7. An evaluation of teaching, to include use of student teaching evaluations (both numerical scores and comments) and at least one other method of evaluation.

8. Any commentary or other information provided by the candidate subsequent to the vote of the faculty and/or the determination of the chair/dean consistent with approved unit personnel policies and procedures. [In order to provide constructive guidance, the evaluation should be shared with the person being reviewed, who is given the opportunity to comment.]
9. The final file should be reviewed by the dean (or his/her designee) and candidate in one another's presence and a cover page included that lists the contents of the file and a statement that the Dean and candidate certify that the file includes these items. This should be signed by the Dean and the candidate and dated.

Note that external letters are not required for interim reviews.

Revised 30 March 1996  
15 August 2003  
8 September 2006  
25 August 2010